	Reference Guide for Third Party Quality Monitoring 2017
Third Party Quality N	Annexure 3 Ionitoring Report of BLC projects under PMAY
Name of TPQM Agency	Innovative CADD Centre Indore
Date of Visit	102,Arpan Apartment 35, Saket Nagar, Indore 18-12-2018
Current Visit No.	1 st
Date of Previous visit	Nil

1	Name of the State /UT:	Uttar Pradesh				
2	Name of the City:	Jaunpur				
3	Name of Project:	Construction of 134 DUS under PMAY 27 th CSMC 27-12-2017				
4	Project Code:	09007585141		27	12-2017	
5	Implementing Agency/ ULB	Mogra Badshe				
6	Location of the Project	Wards of ULF				
7	Type of Project : (i) BLC (New Construction), (ii)BLC (Enhancement)	BLC (New Construction)				
8	No. of Houses in the project	134				
9	Date of Approval by SLSMC	26-12-2017				
10	Approved Project Cost (Rs. Lakhs):	Central share	State share	ULB/ IA share	Beneficiary share	Total
		(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)	(in Lakhs)
11	Date of sanction of 1 _{st} installment of Central Assistance by CSMC	201.0 134.0 0.0 115.2 450.2 27-12-2017				
12	Duration of the project	1 Yrs				
13	Date of Commencement	Scheduled Actual		nal		
	Date of Commencement	27-12-2017 11-01-20				
14	Date of Completion	Scheduled			Expected	
		27-12-2018		11-01		
15	Inspection undertaken by (Name) (with contact detail) :	S.K.Khandelwal		9424468805		
10		Tausif Iqbal 9111008971		08971		
16	Name of State representative present	Not Present				
17	Name of ULB representative present	Yashveer Singh 8587918564				
18	Name of Community representative present during visit	List Enclosed with Photos				
19	Whether list of Beneficiaries finalized?	Yes				
20	If finalised, whether uploaded in PMAY MIS?	Yes				
21	State any deviation from sanctioned DPR in terms of number of houses or size or location or Layout	No				
22	Any other comment	No				

Innovative Cadd Center



-	Reference Guide for	Third Party Quality Monitoring-2017
B.	QUALITY ASSURANCE IN THE PROJECT	
1	Whether ULB/ State have organized workshop/ training programs to educate the beneficiaries about quality, construction methods, adherence to disaster resistant technologies etc.	NO
2	Whether testing facilities to check quality of material is available?	Testing facilities are not available at sites, however it is advisable that in the premises of the mouncipal council testing facilities should be developed for the beneficiaries
3	Whether regular tests of materials and construction products tests of materials are being done from accredited labs also?	Not conducted
4	(i) Whether the structural Designs are approved / Proof checked by competent Authority	Not provided
	(ii) Name of the approving authority for structural design.	Nil
	(iii) Whether the statuary certificate for disaster resistance design and compliance of codal provision isendorsed by the structural designer on the structural drawings fit for execution, Specially in case of multi- storied construction.	Not Required
	(iv) The work is being executed as per the approved drawings fit for execution.	NO
5	Whether the Inspection Registers, Site order book and Quality control Test registers are maintained and endorsed by the Engineer–in-charge?	No Inspection Registers, Site order book and Quality control Test registers are maintained and endorsed by the Engineer–in-charge.
6	Whether cement, steel, aggregates etc. being used in the work, got tested before use?	No building materials are being tested before use.
7	Whether manufacturer test certificate for cement, steel, pipes etc. have been obtained with supply and records are being maintained?	NA
8	Whether Cement is free from lumps and is stacked properly to prevent contact with moisture?	Yes
9	Whether soil investigations of the site proper have been done before the structural design and soil parameters have been accounted for in the structural design/ drawings? If yes, attach copy of soil investigation report.	No, Soil investigations have been done

11	Specific control on RCC work like: mixing by full bag capacity hopper fed mixer, control of slump, placing/compaction with vibrator. (proportioning with boxes not permitted)	Mannual mixing with hand compaction	
12	Whether cement register is maintained and checked at site. Comment on method of stacking.	No cement register have been maintained and the work has been carried out on petty purchases.	
13	Whether concrete mix is nominal or design mix? (Nominal mix not permitted for quality concrete).	Nominal mix (as reported by beneficiary)	
14	What is the Mode of Concrete mixing (batch mix/ manual)? In case of manual mixing, whether mixing is by weight or by volume of ingredients? (Volumetric mixing not permitted).	Volumetric mannual mixing has been used.	
15	Whether Mixer/Vibrator as specified is available at site with adequate means to run them during concreting?	NA	
16	Whether Surface of reinforcement is clean and free from rust?		
17	Whether potable water is used for construction?	Yes	
18	Whether proper water / cement ratio has been ensured?	NA	
19	Whether the concrete being cured adequately as per requirements?	Yes	
20	Whether bricks are well burnt, of rectangular shape, with sharp edges, free from cracks and of correct size?	Yes	
21	Whether		
	i) Execution of Depth & width of Foundation is as per drawing/ adequate & bottom levelled	Construction work is being done by	
	ii) Plinth height is as per drawing/ adequate	beneficiaries & Sample checks are being don by IA	
	iii) Horizontal bands (as applicable) have been provided	5,	
22	Whether quality has been checked by TPQMA/ State agency? If yes, details there of?	Yes, House sites of beneficiaries have been checked randomly. The construction quality is satisfactory.	

10

Innovative Cadd Center orised Signatory

23	Quality of work and workmanship, Comments on	
(i)	RCC work (concrete, Reinforcement detailing, cover to reinforcement). Whether Columns are in plumb (check for verticality)	Cover to Reinforcement is sufficient & the columns are in plumb verticaly.
(ii)	Masonry (Joint details, verticality). Check adequate RCC bands are provided.	Masonary work is good.
(iii)	Shuttering (Type of material-pucca/ katcha, support spacing)	Steel shuttering are mainly used but somewhere wooden shuttering are also used with steel shuttering.
(iv)	Bar bending and stirrups bending , placement and cover to reinforcement bars.	Construction site have been checked by IA
(v)	Plastering	Satisfactory
(vi)	Doors and windows	Satisfactory
(vii)	Seepage, if any	Not found
(viii)	Cracks, if any	NA
(ix)	Honey combing, if any	Honeycomb are observed that in some place
(x)	Any other	Satisfactory
24	Whether provision has been made for Service lines (Electrical, Water Supply, Sanitation etc.)	Yes
25	Whether floor slope (especially) in bath, WC, kitchen, terrace and balcony etc. are proper?	Yes
26	Whether adequate plinth height (above the general ground level) is provided to the ground floor of the building to avoid possibility of rainwater and reptiles in the building.	Yes
27	Whether dampness/leakages noticed? If yes, state location and probable reasons.	No dampness found
	Whether remedial measures are undertaken by IA/ ULB to stop Dampness & Leakages if any?	Instruction has been given during visit.
29	Whether disaster resistant features have been incorporated?	The construction is being carried by beneficiaries and quality of construction
30	Any other comments	The inspected sites are in order and construction is being carried out by beneficiaries themselves . The construction
31	Report on Overall assessment of quality	On inspection of houses under ULB, We found that some houses are plinth level, some houses are lintel level while some houses are roof level. Quality of concrete in beam coloun and slab is found satasfactory. Proper cover is maintain in RCC work Masonary works is good.



Whether provision of Civic infrastructure components (i.e. water supply, Sewerage, Drainage, Roads, SWM etc.) has

1

been ensured?



Yes


	Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojana (Urban) - Housing for All Mission	
2	Whether the Existing/proposed infrastructure components are independent and/or integrated with city level infrastructure?	NA
3	Any other comments	No
Ε.	SOCIAL INFRASTRUCTURE	
1	Whether provision of Social Amenities (i.e. School, Anganwadi, Health centre, Community centre, Livelihood centre etc.) has been ensured?	Yes
2	Any other comments	No
F. F	FINANCIAL PROGRESS & FUND UTILIZATION (RS	s. in Lakhs)
1	Sanctioned fund for entire project.	450.24
2	Amount of funds received up to date	134.00
3	Up-to date Expenditure	134.00
4	Amount of funds Utilized (out of received)	134.00
5	Amount of Expenditure by ULB	Nil
6	Amount of Expenditure by Beneficiaries	Construction work is being done by beneficiaries
7	Balance funds Expected/due from stakeholders	134.00
3. (COST VARIATION and TIME-OVERRUNS	
ost	variation:	
1	Whether there is cost variation? If yes, what are the reasons?	Not applicable for BLC works
ime	Overruns:	
1	Whether there is time overrun? If yes, what are the reasons?	
I. R	EMEDIAL MEASURES TO IMPROVE PROGRESS	S AND QUALITY
	ertaken by Implementation agency to improve the:	
1	Physical Progress	48%
2	Financial Progress	Timely payment of installments must be assured to beneficiaries.
3	Quality management	Supervision from the side of PMC need to be improved for quality.
4	Comments and suggestions of TPQMA on above	Construction carried out by beneficiaries are good. Maintain a workshop for beneficiaries ward wise to give knowledge about construction.
		IUDOUL CONSTRUCTION
	DURT CASES AND LITIGATIONS	about construction.

J. C	OTHERS	Third Party Quality Monitoring-2017
	Any innovative/ cost effective/ green technology has been	
1	used	No
2	Feedback of beneficiaries regarding provision of Physical & Social infrastructure:	Satisfactory
3	Reasons for delay in completion of housing and Infrastructure, if any:	No Delay
4	Any specific observation by the beneficiary	No
5	Overall observation on the project (with adequate photographs covering project to a large extent including quality issues as applicable)	
	Critical observations	Progress of the project is satisfactory
	2. Action suggested by TPQMA to SLNA and IA	No action
6	Action taken report on previous report:	
	i. Observations of TPQMA	
	ii Action suggested by TPQMA	
	iii Action taken by Beneficiaries/ IA (Implementation Agency)	This was the first visit
	iv Whether TPQMA is satisfied with the action taken	
7	TPQMA's Overview of the Project (in 400-500 words) in a separate sheet	The overall project progress is satisfactory and beneficiaries are active. the construction is being carried by beneficiaries themselves and guidance on construction techniques is being provided by PMC. To improve the quality of work regular visit of ULB officials is recommended.

InForvative Card Center
Innovative CADD Centre Indore,
Authorised Signatory

ULB NAME-: Mogra		presentative present during visit		
	Badshahpur			
S.No	Benf. Name	Father/Husband Name		
1	ASHOK KUMAR JAISWAL	PUNWASI		
2	MUSTAFA	MURTUJA		
3	RUKHSANA	ISHTAKHAR AHMAD		
4	FARJANA	SHAUKAT ALI		
5	SERAJUDDIN	TANNAN		
6	KAMRUNISHA	JHURI		
7	JEETA KANNAUJIYA	KAILASH NATH		
8	HASINA BANO	SIKANDER		
9	SANNO	GUFRAN AHMAD		
10	ANJUM NISHA	SAUDAGAR		
11	BINDU KANNAUJIYA	MOHD SAGIR		
12	SAIRUNNISHA	SUBEDAR		
13	MUSTAVI	GAFFAR		
14	ABDUL JABBAR	LATE VAJIR ALI		
15	MURTUJA	JAN MOHAMMAD		
16	KISMTUL	RIYAJUDDIN		
17	ABDULL MANNAN	MOHD SHABBIR		
18	FIROJ ALAM	MUSTAQUE		
19	SHAMA PARVEEN	MUHAMMAD EKRAM		
20	FATIMA	MOHD JALEEL		
21	MUNAUVAR	SURAR ALI		
22	GUFRAN AHMAD	MAHANGU		
23	RIZWANA	JILEDAR		
24	TASRIFUN	JAMALUDDIN		
25	SHABNAM	NURUL HUDA		
26	GUDDI DEVI	RAMDEV		
27	kITABU	SITA		
28	MERAZ AHMED	KHALIL		
29	NAGENDRA PRAJAPATI	LATE MUNESHVAR		
30	SATISH CHANDRA RAI	LATE SURYABHAN RAI		
31	SURESH KUMAR PRAJAPATI	SUCHIT PRAJAPATI		
32	MANEETA	KHUBLAL		
33	JULFEKAR	BAGADU		
34	KAMRUNISHA	FIDA HUSAIN		
35	ABDUL MAJID	MOHD HANIF		
36	VINOD	RADHESHYAM		
37	HABIBUN NISHA	ALI MULLA		
38	KISMATI DEVI	HARENDRA PRAJAPATI		
39	FOOLA DEVI	KOMAL		
40	HAJRATUN	MAHB00B		
41	SUGHRAVATI DEVI	MOOLCHANDRA		
42	VIJAYCHAND GUPTA	LATE LALLU PRASAD		
43	SHABINA	AHMAD ALI		
44	PRAMILA VISHAWAKARMA	MOHAN VISHWAKARMA		

Ald Contar



Innovative Cadd Center

Authorised Signatory



Innovative Cadd Center

Authorised Signatory